Problems and Opportunities in ContextBased Personalization (Invited Paper)

Letizia Tanca, Cristiana Bolchini, Elisa Quintarelli, Fabio A. Schreiber, Giorgio Orsi

PersDB 2011

5th International Workshop on Personalized Access, Profile Management, and Context Awareness in Databases

pp. 1 - 4

Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment, Vol. 4, No. 11

Problems and Opportunities in Context-Based Personalization

Letizia Tanca, Cristiana Bolchini, Elisa Quintarelli, Fabio A. Schreiber

Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informazione Politecnico di Milano Via Ponzio 34/5 20133 Milan Italy

firstname.lastname@polimi.it

ABSTRACT

In a world of global networking, the increasing amount of heterogeneous information, available through a variety of channels, has made it difficult for users to find the information they need in the current situation, at the right level of detail. This is true not only when accessing information from mobile devices, characterized by limited – although growing – resources and by high connection costs, but also when using powerful systems, since the amount of "out-of-context" answers to a given user request may be overwhelming. The knowledge of the context in which the data are going to be used can support the process of focussing on currently useful, personalized information. The activity needed for contextaware information personalization provides material for stimulating research, briefly illustrated in this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

Information is personalized based on the characteristics (interests, social category, current situation, ...) of an individual. Over time, the basis for personalization has evolved from the notion of a simple profile into the collection of all pieces of information that can be used to characterize the situation of an entity such as a person, a place, or any other relevant object/aspect [11, 27, 2, 8] in the interaction between a user and a system: in one word, the *context*. A further important trait of effective personalization is that information reshaping is mainly based on implicit data, derived by the system from the external environment or from the users' behaviour.

In the Context-ADDICT project [10] context is hierarchically modelled in terms of *observable* parameters that have a symbolic internal representation within a *context schema* (in our research, we use a structure called Context Dimension Tree [9]), and some of which correspond to numerical values gathered from the environment by means of suitable appliances, such as sensors or RFID tags. At run time, the context is "sensed", and then validated, by verifying that the discovered combinations of values are consis-

Copyright 2011 VLDB Endowment, ACM 2150-8097/11/08... \$ 10.00.

Giorgio Orsi

Institute for the Future of Computing University of Oxford Wolfson Building, Parks Road Oxford OX1 3JP United Kingdom giorgio.orsi@cs.ox.ac.uk

tent w.r.t. the context schema. This triggers a context-aware behaviour: e.g., the delivery of context-aware data or the actuation of context-aware operations. Note that the context model proposed in Context-ADDICT is completely orthogonal w.r.t. the kind of specific context-aware task, thus can easily be adopted, for instance, to tailor and compose Web services according to the current context, to adapt interfaces, to do context-aware information retrieval or to contextualize non-functional requirements like data quality [6] or similar.

In particular, information personalization via *context-aware data tailoring* consists of (i) extracting the feasible contexts from a context specification (the Context Dimension Tree), and (ii) conveying to the user the context-dependent views associated with the (possibly multiple) current context(s), thus retaining, from the underlying dataset, only the relevant data for each of such contexts.

The challenges involved in context-aware information personalization provide material for stimulating research, needed to establish the foundations of the personalization process. We consider a general scenario where several classes of users access, through a (set of) application(s), a variety of information, made available by internal or external data sources, from sensors used for monitoring the environment to datasets of any format. The knowledge of the context is used to control the flow of information reaching the users, excluding the *information noise*. A fundamental aspect of context management is to keep the data manipulation operations used to compute and to present the personalized information transparent to the user and independent of the logical structure of the information source. The whole process of information personalization can be mainly described by the following functionalities:

a) (Dynamic) Context Management: the role of the designer is to envisage the possible contexts that will be possibly experimented by the user or the application itself during the system's life. As already noticed, the activity of context modeling is in principle independent of the process and of the data we want to personalize and whose relationships with the different contexts are to be specified apart.

The requirements of a context-aware system are intrinsically dynamic and can evolve. As a consequence, it is highly probable that the context perspectives anticipated at design time will not be always applicable. Accordingly, the context schema used as the basis of the personalisation process should also be allowed to change over time, along with the design of the related context-aware data or operations. These problems are briefly described in Section 2.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Articles from this volume were invited to present their results at the PersDB 2011 Workshop, September 2, 2011, Seattle, WA, USA.

Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment, Vol. 4, No. 11

- b) Context-Aware Personalization Management: at each instant of time, the currently active context (possibly more than one) has to be recognized: some of the aspects characterizing the current context can be automatically derived from the environment (such as the location and time of the user, her/his role within the application scenario, or the kind of device used to access the data - see also Section 4), while others may require an explicit specification by the user or the application of learning capabilities (for instance, the user's current topics of interest). Once the context has been recognized, contextualization must be applied to the user queries, and the context-aware data must be delivered to the users and applications. Note that the user interests and preferences may also vary according to the context the user is currently in, for a change in context may change the relative importance of information. Contextual preferences are then used to refine the views associated with contexts, by imposing a ranking on the data of a context-aware view and adding the opportunity to dispose of the less interesting portion if needed. This research and the associated challenges are briefly described in Section 3.
- c) Data Access Management: The proliferation of freelyaccessible data-intensive websites, as well as initiatives for open-accessible linked data in the Web [7], provide the users with potential sources of precious information. Such heterogeneous, dynamic, possibly mobile data sources must be queried in a context-aware fashion, retrieving only the data that are consistent with the current context. The task itself of recognizing the current context requires "sensing" the context data from the environment before transforming them into the actual values for the context parameters, thus the sensors constitute another possible data source that must be dealt with, this time to derive the current context. More about this will be described in Section 4.

In the next sections, starting from the current investigation on context-based personalization in our group, we will illustrate some of the challenges that await researchers on these topics.

2. DYNAMIC CONTEXT MANAGEMENT

A key element of context-aware system design is the representation and management of context and its attributes, along with the relationships between a context and the adaptive aspects of the system and application. In order to define a context-aware system it is in fact necessary to identify and model the aspects that characterize the different contextual situations and how such aspects have an impact of the elements of interest for the application scenario, such as the relevant information, applicable rules and behaviors, available features and services.

Our initial effort has thus been devoted to the definition of a *context model*, formally presented in [9], able to capture the designer's specification of the elements characterizing the application scenarios and all possible contexts the user might be acting in. The model is characterized by *generality* (suited for any scenario), *multiple abstraction levels* (describing the context perspectives at the correct level of granularity), *readability* (to serve as design documentation), *expressivity* (allowing querying, reasoning or constraint specification on the contexts of a given target application) [8, 19]. In particular, the proposed model provides the constructs to design the *context schema* (or *Context Dimension Tree – CDT*) of a given scenario by means of a hierarchical structure consisting of i) *context dimensions* (black nodes), modeling the different perspectives through which the user perceives the application domain and ii) the allowed *dimension values* (white nodes), i.e. the actualized parameters based on which the context-aware information, behaviours, etc. are to be selected. Each context is then defined as a combination of *context elements*, that is, *<dimension-value>* pairs. The adoption of a hierarchical organization allows us to employ different abstraction levels to specify and represent contexts. It is also possible to define appropriate constraints on the schema, that prevent some of the combinations of context elements, if meaningless for the current application scenario.

The system/application designer specifies the context model at design-time, identifying the possible contexts the user may find him/herself in at run-time. As an example, consider the tourism domain and a mobile application that offers to tourists with different characteristics (e.g. age, nationality, social category) fresh information about restaurants, hotels and exhibitions related to the place where they are. For instance, *<user=family*, *inter-est_topic=restaurants*, *season=summer*, *location="Paris">con-stitutes a possible context for a family interested in finding information about restaurants* for a family interested in finding information about restaurants in Paris during the summer period.

By focusing the attention on relevant information based on the user's active context, we developed a methodology for the semiautomatic tailoring of data, starting from the definition of a view associated with each context element, that determines the portion of data deemed interesting when the active context includes such element. The view has been dubbed *relevant area*, or $\Re el$, and the methodology, given a context, allows the automatic computation of the relevant data by appropriately combining the relevant areas associated with each one of the involved context elements. At design time, the designer must specify the view associated with each context element, and the corresponding context-related views are computed. At run time, the context-aware application provides access to the computed portion of interesting information, according to the user's current active context.

The intrinsic dynamicity of context-awareness constitutes an interesting challenge, and our present efforts are taking into account the issues related to this aspect. In particular, starting from techniques devised in the literature on schema evolution in various fields [16, 3], we are investigating strategies to flexibly support the evolution of the context schema. During the lifetime of the system and application, new context dimensions or values may come into play or become not applicable, as well as constraints may vary. As an example, considering once again the tourism domain, the previously described scenario can be enriched with information about flights, thus the possible topics of interest must be updated. Within this topic, in [23] we propose some *evolution operators* that keep the coherence between the schema changes and the (induced) changes in the encompassed contexts when necessary.

Furthermore, during the system life also the relevant areas associated with the context elements may need to be changed because different information sources are available or because of fresh context-awareness needs. In the latter case, knowledge discovery techniques may be employed to learn, from the user's history, the new portion(s) of information that should be replaced as relevant area(s) for (a) given context(s). In studying these problems we have seen that the described modular approach of assigning relevant areas to context elements and allowing the system to combine them automatically facilitates the evolution of the context-aware views in the face of a context-schema change.

The context evolution operators constitute the basis to support *context sharing*, when more than one peer need to partake of the same context in order, for instance, to set a common understanding of a situation or domain of interest [13]. Other, related challenges concern the automatic synthesis (from observing the environment)

of the possible contexts and thus of the current context schema, or the automatic recognition of the next active context to the end of anticipating critical situations [12].

3. CONTEXT-AWARE PERSONALIZA-TION MANAGEMENT

Data personalization based only on the notion of context represents a coarse-grained and partial solution to the problem of data tailoring, since the personalization is mostly targeted to *classes of users* and does not take into account the individual tastes. For example, consider the context of Alice, a young woman currently in Paris, interested in finding a free hotel room; a contextual system will suggest hotels close to her current location, with facilities for young people, and will not be able to propose any ranking or further filtering of the contextual data according to Alice's tastes. However, Alice could be very interested in hotels near the city center when she is alone, and in Spa hotels (possibly in the city suburbs) when she travels with friends; thus, a variation in her context, and in particular in her situation (alone or with friends), may cause Alice's preferences to change.

In the literature, to improve the personalization task, the knowledge of context is recently coupled with the user personal preferences: this allows to specify which information represents the actual interests and needs for a user, in each particular context. In this direction the use of contextual information has been considered in the area of recommendation systems [1, 22] to improve the accuracy of recommendations. Moreover, some proposals [26, 18, 15, 17] have addressed the problem of personalizing relational data on the basis of contextual preferences defined by the user.

However, the majority of these systems require an explicit input from the user about his/her recommendations or preferences, but when considering a large variety of data, the manual specification of an extensive list of preferences for each possible context may be onerous for the users, who may be discouraged w.r.t. the activity of explicitly indicating their preferences. This challenge can be dealt with by automatically inferring the user tastes from his/her past behaviour, or from the behaviour of users who are recognized as "similar" to the current one.

In this direction we have used data-mining algorithms to discover implicit contextual behaviors from analyzing the past dataquerying activity, in the form of association rules that correlate each context with the values accessed in it. In particular, a σ -rule \bar{r}_{σ} on the relation schema R(X) is a triple $\langle C \rightarrow cond, sup, conf \rangle$, where $C \rightarrow cond$ is an association rule, C is a context and cond a conjunction of simple conditions in the form A = value, with $A \in X_i$ for some $R_i(X_i) \in R(X)$; sup and conf indicate the support and the confidence of $C \rightarrow cond$, respectively. The support corresponds to the frequency of $C \wedge cond$ be true in the log storing the user activity; the confidence corresponds to the (conditional) probability of finding cond true in the log of the activity done in context *C*, and is given by $\frac{sup(C \land cond)}{sup(cond)}$. For example, if we consider the table HOTEL(NAME, CITY, ADDRESS, SPA, WEBSITE, ...) in the dataset of our scenario, and we mine the σ -rule (*user* = woman $\wedge \textit{situation} = \textit{withfriends} \rightarrow \sigma_{\textit{spa=yes}}\textit{HOTEL}, 0.8, 0.9 \rangle$ by analysing Alice's activity, we can state that, when with friends, she is often interested in SPA hotels (with a support 0.8 and a confidence 0.9).

This approach can be further extended with techniques coming from recommendation systems, both to prune recommendations not relevant to the user current context, and to use the extracted contextual rules to explain to end users the reason behind the performed recommendations. Moreover, the proposal can also be applied to personalize the views for new users (when the history of their activity is not available yet) on the basis of the behaviours of similar users in the same context.

4. DATA-ACCESS MANAGEMENT

In Context-ADDICT the data sources are generally *dynamic*, *transient*, and *heterogeneous* in both their data models (e.g., relational, XML, RDF) and schemas¹. Therefore, in order to access their content, it is necessary to understand their schemas, to represent them in a suitable semantic formalism (e.g., description logics or Datalog-like languages [21]) and to map [4] them to some internal representation of the relevant areas in order to enable context-aware access.

In Context-ADDICT, the schemas are expressed using the CA- $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{L}$ data language that allows to: (i) uniformly represent the data and the user context(s) in any application domain and (ii) support efficient context-aware query distribution and answering. Context-based information filtering is performed at run-time (*early tailoring*), by selecting fragments of a global representation of the domain of interest that are considered relevant for the current context. These relevant areas are computed by means of the per-context-element strategy discussed in Section 2 [20].

As noticed in Section 1, in context-aware systems at least some of the context dimensions require data – the *numerical observables* – coming from the applications' external environment. Therefore, context awareness has a two-fold role with respect to sensor data: part of the collected information may contribute to the information base to be made available through the application and is filtered according to context as in the general case; part of it can be directly used to determine the context itself.

We developed PerLa²(PERvasive LAnguage) [24] a declarative high level language that allows to query a pervasive system, hiding the difficulties related to the need of handling different technologies. Originally conceived only for querying sensors, PerLa has been extended with statements for: i) *defining the CDT structure*, also with the capability of acquiring that part of context information that cannot be deduced from sensors readings; ii) *create a context* on a defined CDT; iii) *activate/deactivate a context* at run-time as by the actual values of the context variables; iv) perform the contextual actions required on the system [25].

However, many problems are still open on this side, both at the language and at the system level; first of all, the contextual actions can affect data, procedures and services and, even if, in the current state, simple ad-hoc operations can be done in PerLa, a general mechanism is still to be built for combining complex actuation sequences involving whatever kind of action, once a context is active.

At the system level, two major issues are open:

- it might well be the case that the values collected by the sensors are compatible with the simultaneous activation of more than one context and that these contexts encompass conflicting behaviours or data. Therefore, *uncertainty and inconsistency of the context data* must be detected and resolved;
- the interaction dynamics of the whole system, including the context management part, should be considered, since many feedback loops can be identified among the different components, and the system's stability is at risk if the relative time

¹In our research we mostly concentrate on data sources whose schema is available, while for less structured data sources we resort to techniques found in state-of-the-art literature [14]. ²http://perlawsn.sourceforge.net/index.php

constants of the controlled system and of the context management system are comparable [5]: intuitively, in a mobile system the access by a context-aware application to locationdependent data should be much faster than the user's motion in order to obtain a space-consistent answer – as a limit case, the answer might be never given.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have given a brief report of our understanding of the research on context-awareness, and of the activity on context-aware information systems going on within the PEDiGREE group at Politecnico di Milano. The overall research area is so stimulating that we continuously encounter topics that should be investigated, like the ones mentioned in this paper, which can encourage the scientists who are attracted by this ever-growing research area.

6. **REFERENCES**

- G. Adomavicius, R. Sankaranarayanan, S. Sen, and A. Tuzhilin. Incorporating contextual information in recommender systems using a multidimensional approach. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 23(1):103–145, 2005.
- [2] M. Baldauf, S. Dustdar, and F. Rosenberg. A survey on context-aware systems. *Intl J. of Ad Hoc Ubiquitous Computing*, 2(4):263–277, 2007.
- [3] A. Balmin, Y. Papakonstantinou, and V. Vianu. Incremental validation of XML documents. ACM Trans. on Database Systems, 29(4):710–751, 2004.
- [4] Z. Bellahsene, A. Bonifati, and E. Rahm. Schema Matching and Mapping. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (DE), 2011.
- [5] A. Bemporad and M. Morari. Control of systems integrating logic, dynamics, and constraints. *Automatica*, 35:407–427, 1999.
- [6] L. Bertossi, F. Rizzolo, and L. Jiang. Data quality is context dependent. In Proc. of the 4th Intl Work. on Business Intelligence for the Real Time Enterprise, 2010. To appear.
- [7] C. Bizer, T. Heath, and T. Berners-Lee. Linked data the story so far. *Int. J. Semantic Web Inf. Syst.*, 5(3):1–22, 2009.
- [8] C. Bolchini, C. A. Curino, E. Quintarelli, F. A. Schreiber, and L. Tanca. A data-oriented survey of context models. *SIGMOD Record*, 36(4):19–26, 2007.
- [9] C. Bolchini, C. A. Curino, E. Quintarelli, F. A. Schreiber, and L. Tanca. Context information for knowledge reshaping. *Intl J. of Web Engineering and Technology*, 5(1):88–103, Feb. 2009.
- [10] C. Bolchini, G. Orsi, E. Quintarelli, F. A. Schreiber, and L. Tanca. Context modeling and context awareness: steps forward in the context-addict project. *IEEE Data Eng. Bull.*, 34(2):47–54, 2011.
- [11] P. Brézillon and S. Abu-Hakima. Using knowledge in its context. *AI Magazine*, 16(1):87–91, 1995.
- [12] Y. Ding, H. Schmidtke, and M. Beigl. Beyond context-awareness: context prediction in an industrial application. In *Proc. of the 12th Intl Conf. on Ubiquitous Computing*, pages 401–402, 2010.

- [13] S. M. et al. The esteem platform: enabling p2p semantic collaboration through emerging collective knowledge. J. Intell. Inf. Syst., 36(2):167–195, 2011.
- [14] G. Gottlob, C. Koch, R. Baumgartner, M. Herzog, and S. Flesca. The Lixto data extraction project - back and forth between theory and practice. In *Proc. of the 23rd Symp. on Principles of Database Systems*, pages 1–12, 2004.
- [15] E. Jembere, M. O. Adigun, and S. S. Xulu. Mining context-based user preferences for m-services applications. *Web Intelligence*, pages 757–763, 2007.
- [16] M. M. Lehman. Software's future: Managing evolution. *IEEE Software*, 15(1):40–44, 1998.
- [17] J. J. Levandoski, M. F. Mokbel, and M. E. Khalefa. Caredb: A context and preference-aware location-based database system. *PVLDB*, 3(2):1529–1532, 2010.
- [18] A. Miele, E. Quintarelli, and L. Tanca. A methodology for preference-based personalization of contextual data. In *Proc.* of the 12th Intl Conf. on Extending Database Technology, pages 287–298, 2009.
- [19] A. Mileo, D. Merico, and R. Bisiani. Support for context-aware monitoring in home healthcare. In *Intelligent Environments (Workshops)*, pages 177–184, 2009.
- [20] G. Orsi. Context Based Querying of Dynamic and Heterogeneous Information Sources. PhD thesis, Politecnico di Milano, 2011.
- [21] G. Orsi and L. Tanca. Context modelling and context-aware querying: can datalog be of help? In *Proc. of the Datalog 2.0 Workshop*, 2010. To appear.
- [22] C. Palmisano, A. Tuzhilin, and M. Gorgoglione. Using context to improve predictive modeling of customers in personalization applications. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, 20(11):1535 –1549, 2008.
- [23] E. Quintarelli, E. Rabosio, and L. Tanca. Context schema evolution in context-aware data management. In 30th International Conference on Conceptual Modeling, 2011.
- [24] F. A. Schreiber, R. Camplani, M. Fortunato, M. Marelli, and G. Rota. Perla: A language and middleware architecture for data management and integration in pervasive information systems. *IEEE Trans. on Software Engineering*, 2011. To appear.
- [25] F. A. Schreiber, L. Tanca, R. Camplani, and D. Viganò. Towards autonomic pervasive systems: the perla context language. In *Electronic Proc. 6th NetDB*, *http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/um/people/srikanth/netdb11/netdb11papers/netdb11-final4.pdf*, pages 1–7, 2011.
- [26] K. Stefanidis, E. Pitoura, and P. Vassiliadis. Adding context to preferences. In *Proc. Intl Conf. Data Engineering*, pages 846–855, 2007.
- [27] T. Strang and C. Linnhoff-Popien. A context modeling survey. In *Intl Work. on Advanced Context Modelling, Reasoning and Management*, pages 31–41, 2004.